

## Influence of Soil Applied Plant Based and Synthetic Nitrification Inhibitors with Mineral Fertilizer on Microbial Populations under Cauliflower Cultivation

Shubham<sup>1\*</sup>, Uday Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Rajesh Kaushal<sup>1</sup>, Yash Pal Sharma<sup>2</sup> and Kuldeep Thakur<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science and Water management, Dr. YSP UHF Nauni 173 230, Solan (H.P.) India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Forest Products, Dr. YSP UHF, Nauni 173 230, Solan (H.P.) India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. YSP UHF, Nauni 173 230, Solan (H.P.) India

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [shubham73seth@gmail.com](mailto:shubham73seth@gmail.com)

Received: 4.11.2020 | Revised: 13.12.2020 | Accepted: 20.12.2020

### ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to study the influence of soil applied plant derivatives of tree species combined with mineral fertilizer on microbial populations in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Botrytis* L). A field experiment was conducted during rabi season of 2018-19 and 2019-20, at experimental farm of University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni Solan. Eight treatment combinations viz. melia fruit powder, pomegranate rind powder, commercial neem cake, calcium carbide ( $\text{CaC}_2$ ) @ 10 and 20 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil, respectively and control were incorporated to study with three replications. Randomized block design was used to maintain heterogeneity. Results revealed that all the different treatments improved the microbial populations over the control treatment. Treatment of pomegranate rind powder @ 10 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  soil documented highest bacterial count (20.10 and 19.92 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil), fungal count (4.48 and 4.43 x 10<sup>3</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and actinomycetes (3.45 and 3.39 x 10<sup>3</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) during 2018-19 and 2019-20, respectively. However, the lowest count was recorded in control treatment. Moreover, melia fruit powder application also recorded higher microbial count in the soil as compared to synthetic inhibitor ( $\text{CaC}_2$ ). Incorporation of natural inhibitors showed higher response and therefore sustained higher microbial populations under cauliflower.

**Key words:** Nitrification inhibitors, Microbial population, Cauliflower, Sustainable agriculture.

### INTRODUCTION

The world's population has been projected to be around 9.6 billion by 2050 and for keeping pace with such tremendous growth in population, the agricultural production would also need to be increased by 70 per cent

(Francesco & Mariangela, 2016). The increase in crop production can be expected either through promotion of improved high yielding cultivars or by increasing the cropping intensity.

**Cite this article:** Shubham, Sharma, U., Kaushal, R., Sharma, Y. P., & Thakur, K. (2020). Influence of Soil Applied Plant Based and Synthetic Nitrification Inhibitors with Mineral Fertilizer on Microbial Populations under Cauliflower Cultivation, *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 8(6), 332-338. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.8479>

Moreover, for sustainable production of agricultural and horticultural crops nutrient use efficiency would also have to be improved. The sub-optimal or supra-optimal dose of fertilizer applications especially of nitrogen fertilizers, leads to reduced nitrogen use efficiency, poor crop quality and ultimately results in lower yield levels. Application of fertilizers, especially nitrogen, more than the crop demands, leads to potential nitrate leaching from organic as well as inorganic N sources. In most of the intensive agricultural and horticultural production systems, around 50 per cent or even up to 75 per cent of the N applied to the field is not fully utilized by the plant and ultimately lost through leaching and volatilization from the soil nutrient pool.

Application of nitrogenous fertilizers has been considered as the major factor for increased agricultural productivity world-wide since last few decades. Among the different nutrients, nitrogen is regarded as a most important essential nutrient which controls the diversity and functioning of many terrestrial, freshwater and marine ecosystems. Nitrogen plays a major role in feeding the population, however huge application of nitrogenous fertilizers are being practiced in the developing countries causing hazards like land degradation. The agricultural production would have to be made sustainable over a long period of time, particularly in developing countries. Being a highly mobile and dynamic element nitrogen losses occurs through different ways i.e.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching, denitrification, runoff,  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization, gaseous emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}$  to the atmosphere (Zaman et al., 2009). The losses from the fertilizers have long term adverse effects on the ecology and environment by means of eutrophication, losses in aquatic biodiversity and increased  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (Warneke et al., 2011).

Nitrogen metabolism is primarily a microbially mediated process. Some microorganisms play an important role in improving the fertility of soil by metabolizing the N which is not taken up by the plants. However, it is a lengthy process and can cause

considerable risk of mineral N losses, as nitrate and urea are sparingly soluble in water and can be lost through run off or leaching in surface or sub-surface soils. Generally, cereal and vegetable based cropping systems are considered more prone to nitrogen (N) leaching losses. Adoption of proper management practices can lower these risks, but cannot fully solve it. Adoption of practices like crop rotation, mulching, zero tillage can also improve the N use efficiency, as the N left by one crop can be utilized by the succeeding crops.

Nitrification is a natural process occurring in the soil in which ammonium is converted into nitrite and further to nitrate form of nitrogen. The *Nitrosomonas* spp. of soil bacteria extract the energy from ammonium while converting it to nitrite form. Furthermore, another group of bacteria i.e. *Nitrobacter* spp. convert the nitrite form into nitrate. Both types of bacteria are commonly found in soil and determine the overall rate of nitrate production. Nitrification process occurs within few days or could take couple of weeks after application of ammonium sources, depending upon the soil temperature and moisture content.

Nitrification inhibitors are generally the compounds which restrict the *Nitrosomonas* bacteria activity and delay the nitrate production from ammonium. Adequate soil moisture conditions increase the activities of nitrification inhibitors as they are more favored to sandy soils with low organic matter and low temperatures. The use of nitrification inhibitors is of great significance as they maintain the higher amounts of inorganic nitrogen in its ammonical form and thus results into lower leaching losses and other movements of N. Generally, there are several chemical and natural based inhibitors which have drawn special attention in last decade. The major inhibitors are 2-chloro-6-(trichloromethyl)-pyridine (Nitrapyrin), dicycandiamide (DCD), 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP),  $\text{CaC}_2$ , neem cake and *Melia azedarach* (McCarty, 1999; Abbasi et al., 2003; Fangueiro et al., 2009; Khalil et al.,

2009; Zaman et al., 2009; Souri, 2010; & Pereira et al., 2010). Use of synthetic inhibitors have shown good edge of response over the natural one but use of these chemical inhibitors has been limited to only academic purpose as they bear higher cost of application, minimal availability and some side effects (Patra & Sukhma 2009). However, some plant based nitrification inhibitors also properties of nitrification inhibitions i.e. Karanji (*Pongamia glabra*), Neem (*Azadirachta indica*), Dharek (*Melia azedarach. L*) and tea (*Camellia sinensis*) have been reported by many researchers in their studies (Freney et al., 2000, Kiran & Patra, 2003; Majumdar, 2002; Maureen et al., 2010; & Abbasi et al., 2011).

Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea*) belongs to family Brassicaceae. It is an annual and cross pollinated plant which reproduces by seed. It is generally a low growing herbaceous plant. It grows into a long stalk with loosely arranged leaves and bear terminal curds which is also known as inflorescence. The stem is short and stout. In India, cauliflower occupies an area of about 469 thousand hectares with production of 9103 thousand metric tons per annum (Anonymous, 2019). In Himachal Pradesh, the crop occupies an area of about 5.56 thousand hectares with a production of 131.01 thousand tons per annum (Anonymous, 2018). Cultivation of cauliflower is done mainly on sandy to heavy soils rich in organic matter. Early crops prefer light soils while late crops grow better in heavier soils due to retention of moisture. A pH range of 5.5-6.5 is considered as optimum for growing

cauliflower. In India, cauliflower is grown in large areas having a cool and moist climate. For a good seed germination of crop, a temperature of 10-21<sup>0</sup>C is required. A temperature range of 15-21<sup>0</sup>C is considered as optimum for growth and curd formation of the crop. Similarly, an optimum nutrition is required throughout its growing cycles making it imperative for proper nitrogen management. Very meager information is available on the use of these locally available plant derivatives as nitrification inhibitors and its impact on microbial populations on vegetable crops.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiments was conducted with eight treatment combinations replicated thrice in a randomized block design during *rabi* season 2018-19 and 2019-20 at the experimental farm of UHF Nauni, Solan (H.P.). Plot size of 6 m<sup>2</sup> (3m×2m) were selected and the one month old seedlings were used as transplanting material. Seedlings were sown at a planting distance of 60cm×45 cm for higher ease of handling in the raised beds to avoid water logging conditions. Recommended dose of NPK (127:76:72) were applied through sources viz. Urea, single super phosphate, murate of potash, respectively. FYM was applied as per university recommended dose (250 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). All the different treatments were applied with required amount of fertilizers and FYM except absolute control. The plant based nitrification inhibitors were powdered and further applied as per the treatments. The following treatments were compelled in the study.

**Table1. List of the experimental treatments**

Serial No.	Treatments
T <sub>1</sub>	Powdered pomegranate rind @10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>2</sub>	Powdered pomegranate rind @20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>3</sub>	Powdered melia fruits @10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>4</sub>	Powdered melia fruits @20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>5</sub>	Commercial neem cake @10 g Kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>6</sub>	Commercial neem cake @20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>7</sub>	Calcium carbide (CaC <sub>2</sub> ) @ 15 g kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil
T <sub>8</sub>	Absolute control

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Bacterial count

The application of plant derivatives had a pronounced effect on the bacterial population. An examination of the data presented in table (2) showed that during 2018-19 and 2019-20 the maximum bacterial count of  $20.10 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil and  $19.92 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil, respectively, were recorded in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> and the minimum respective bacterial counts of  $15.93 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> and  $15.72 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil were, however, recorded in control (T<sub>8</sub>). The pooled data analysis again revealed a maximum bacterial count of  $20.01 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil in T<sub>1</sub> which was superior to all the other treatments and the minimum was in T<sub>8</sub> (control). Amongst the plant derivatives tested treatment pertaining to pomegranate rind @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil (T<sub>1</sub>) significantly enhanced the bacterial population as compared to T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. The interaction T×Y showed that a

maximum bacterial count of  $20.10 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil in T<sub>1</sub> during 2018-19 which was at par with the same treatment during 2019-20.

### Fungal count

Table (2) clearly showed that the maximum fungal count of  $4.48 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil during 2018-19 was recorded in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> while the minimum fungal count of  $2.79 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil was in control (T<sub>8</sub>). Similarly, in the year 2019-20 the maximum soil fungal count of  $4.43 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> which was at par with T<sub>5</sub>, while the minimum was again in control (T<sub>8</sub>). The pooled data analysis revealed a maximum fungal count of  $4.46 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil in T<sub>1</sub> which was statistically superior to all other treatments. Amongst the new plant derivatives, melia fruit powder @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) gave significantly higher fungal count of  $4.30 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil as compared to T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>.

**Table 2: Effect of different plant derivatives on microbial population of soil**

Treatment *	Bacteria (x 10 <sup>5</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil)			Fungi (x10 <sup>3</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil))			Actinomycetes (x10 <sup>3</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil))		
	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> : PR @ 10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	20.10	19.92	20.01	4.48	4.43	4.46	3.45	3.39	3.42
T <sub>2</sub> : PR @ 20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	18.37	18.26	18.32	3.19	3.15	3.17	3.18	3.15	3.17
T <sub>3</sub> : MF @ 10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	18.91	18.71	18.81	4.32	4.27	4.30	3.34	3.29	3.32
T <sub>4</sub> : MF @ 20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	17.79	17.61	17.71	3.28	3.23	3.26	3.23	3.18	3.21
T <sub>5</sub> : NC @ 10 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	18.98	18.77	18.88	4.38	4.35	4.37	3.37	3.30	3.34
T <sub>6</sub> : NC @ 20 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	16.95	16.81	16.88	3.63	3.60	3.62	3.20	3.17	3.19
T <sub>7</sub> : CaC <sub>2</sub> @15 g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	17.74	17.58	17.66	4.04	3.96	4.00	3.11	3.06	3.09
T <sub>8</sub> : Absolute control	15.93	15.72	15.83	2.79	2.76	2.78	2.60	2.57	2.59
Mean	18.09	17.94		3.69	3.78		3.19	3.14	
CD (0.05)	1.44	2.80		0.02	0.08		0.02	0.09	
T	1.51			0.04			0.05		
Y	NS			NS			NS		
T × Y	2.14			0.06			0.07		

\* RD of NPK were applied uniformly to all the treatments except T<sub>8</sub> (control)

PR = Pomegranate Rind      MF = Melia Fruits      NC = Neem Cake

### Actinomycetes count

Data in table (2) revealed that during 2018-19 the maximum actinomycetes count ( $3.45 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>soil) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> comprising of the application of pomegranate rind @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. The minimum actinomycetes count of  $2.60 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil was, however, recorded in control (T<sub>8</sub>). Similar trend was also observed during the year 2019-

20, with the maximum soil actinomycetes count of  $3.39 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>soil was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> which was at par with T<sub>5</sub> while the minimum was again in control (T<sub>8</sub>). The pooled data analysis revealed a maximum actinomycetes count of  $3.42 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil in T<sub>1</sub> which was superior to all other treatments and minimum was in T<sub>8</sub> (control). The average actinomycetes count during 2018-

19 ( $3.19 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) was higher but not significantly as compared to that in 2019-20 ( $3.14 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The interaction T×Y showed a maximum actinomycetes count of  $3.45 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil as in T<sub>1</sub> during 2018-19 was superior to  $3.39 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil in the same treatment during 2019-20.

In the present studies the application of nitrification inhibitors did not show a definite trend with respect to the nature of inhibitor used. The total microbial population varied with a maximum in Pomegranate rind @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil (T<sub>1</sub>) but the other materials were also found to influence the microbial population controlling the biogeochemical cycles of the soils. The minimum microbial population (T<sub>8</sub>) as the result of poor organic matter, nutrient and plant growth conditions in the absolute control.

The soil contains a dynamic population of microorganisms, which play an important role in the decomposition of organic matter, nitrogen fixation and transformation of elements. Combined application of nitrification inhibitors with chemicals might have reduced the activity of nitrifying bacteria, denitrifying bacteria, and net nitrification rates (Egamberdiyeva et al., 2001; & Patra & Sukhma, 2009). In another study it has been reported that the population of actinomycetes and bacteria recovered and then found to increase after 60 days of the application of *Azotobacter* sp. and nitrification inhibitor (*azadirachtin*) (Gopal et al., 2007). Many workers have reported that even application of nitrification inhibitors did not significantly affect the microbial population abundance and enzymatic activities (Maureen et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2019; Dong et al., 2013; & Xiuzhen et al., 2016). Maienza et al. (2014) reported that nitrification inhibitors addition significantly affected the microbial community structure and reduced fungal growth.

### CONCLUSION

The study concluded that application of different plant based nitrification inhibitors had pronounced effect in the microbial populations over the control. Application of

pomegranate rind powder @ 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil improved the bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes populations over the rest treatments. Therefore, application of mineral fertilizers with pomegranate rind could be helpful and promising to sustain the microbial activities in soil under cauliflower cultivation in North Western Himalaya.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to entire faculty of Soil Science and Water Management, Dr. YSP UHF Nauni, Solan for providing financial support and technical assistance for accomplishment of the study.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M. K., Hina, M., & Tahir, M. M. (2011). Effect of *Azadirachta indica* (neem), sodium thiosulphate and calcium chloride on changes in nitrogen transformations and inhibition of nitrification in soil incubated under laboratory conditions. *Chemosphere*, 82, 1629–1635.
- Abbasi, M. K., Shah, Z., & Adams, W. A. (2003). Effect of the nitrification inhibitor nitrapyrin on the fate of nitrogen applied to a soil incubated under laboratory condition. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 166, 513–518.
- Anonymous, (2018). National Horticulture Board: Area and production of cauliflower in Himachal Pradesh.
- Anonymous, (2019). National Horticulture Board: Area and production of cauliflower in India.
- Dong, X. X., Zhang, L. L., Wu, Z. J., Li, D. P., Shang, Z. C., & Gong, P. (2013). Effects of the nitrification inhibitor DMPP on soil bacterial community in a Cambisol in northeast China. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 13, 580-91.

- Egamberdiyeva, D., Mamiev, M., & Poberejskaya, S. K. (2001). The influence of mineral fertilizer combined with a nitrification inhibitor on microbial populations and activities in calcareous Uzbekistanian soil under cotton cultivation. *Scientific World Journal*, 2, 108-13.
- Fangueiro, D., Fernandes, A., Coutinho, J., Moreira, N., & Trindade, H. (2009). Influence of two nitrification inhibitors (DCD and DMPP) on annual ryegrass yield and soil mineral N dynamics after incorporation with Cattle Slurry. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 40, 3387–3398.
- Francesco, M., & Mariangela, D. (2016). Towards a Better Understanding of Agronomic Efficiency of Nitrogen: Assessment and Improvement Strategies. *Agronomy*, 6, 31.
- Freney, J. R., Randall, P. J., Smith, J. W. B., Hodgkin, J., Harrington, K. J., & Morton, T. C. (2000). Slow release sources of acetylene to inhibit nitrification in soil. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 56, 241-251.
- Gopal, M., Gupta, A., Arunachalam, V., & S. P. (2007). Impact of Azadirachtin, an Insecticidal Allelochemical From Neem on Soil Microflora, Enzyme and Respiratory Activities. *Bioresources Technology*, 98, 3154-80.
- Guo, J. L., Liu, Y., Wei, W. X., Ge, T. D., & Wang, G. J. (2019). Impact of dicyandiamide (DCD) and 3, 4-Dimethylpyrazole Phosphate (DMPP) on ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in a vegetable planting soil. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40, 5142-50.
- Khalil, M. I, Gutser, R., & Schmidhalter, U. (2009). Effects of urease and nitrification inhibitors added to urea on nitrous oxide emissions from a loess soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 172, 651–660.
- Kiran, U., & Patra, D. D. (2003). Medicinal and aromatic plant materials as nitrification inhibitors for augmenting yield and nitrogen uptake of Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L. Var. Piperascens). *Bioresources and Technology*, 86, 267-76.
- Maienza, A., Baath, E., Stazi, S. R., Benedetti, A., Grego, S., & Abate, A. T. D. (2014). Microbial dynamics after adding bovine manure effluent together with nitrification inhibitor (DMPP) in a microcosm experiment. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 50, 66-76.
- Majumdar, D. (2002). Suppression of nitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emission by karanjin—a nitrification inhibitor prepared from karanja (*Pongamia glabra* Vent.). *Chemosphere*, 47, 845–50.
- Maureen, O. C., Gerard, E. M., Carter, P. E., Lardner, R., Sarathchandra, U., Burch, G., Ghani, A., & Bell, N. (2010). Effect of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) on microbial communities in a pasture soil amended with bovine urine. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 1425-36.
- McCarty, G. W. (1999). Mode of action of nitrification inhibitors. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 29, 1–9.
- Patra, D. D., & Sukhma, C. (2009). Natural nitrification inhibitors for augmenting nitrogen use efficiency in soil-plant system. The Proceedings of the International Plant Nutrition Colloquium XVI, UC Davis.
- Pereira, J., Fangueiro, D., Chadwick, D. R., Misselbrook, T. H., Coutinho, J., & Trindade, H. (2010). Effect of cattle slurry pre-treatment by separation and addition of nitrification inhibitors on gaseous emissions and N dynamics: a laboratory study. *Chemosphere*, 79, 620–27.
- Souri, M. K. (2010). Effectiveness of chloride compared to 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate on nitrification inhibition in

- soil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 41, 1769–78.
- Warneke, S., Schipper, L. A., Bruesewitz, D. A., McDonald, I., & Cameron, S. (2011). Rates, controls and potential adverse effects of nitrate removal in a denitrification bed. *Ecological Engineering*, 37, 511–22.
- Xiuzhen, S., Hang, W. H., Christoph, M., Ji, Z. H., Deli, C., & Helen, C. S. (2016). Effects of the nitrification inhibitor 3, 4-Dimethylpyrazole phosphate on nitrification and nitrifiers in two contrasting agricultural soils. *Microbial Ecology*, 82, 5236-48.
- Zaman, M., Sagar, S., Blennerhassett, J. D., & Singh J. (2009). Effect of urease and nitrification inhibitors on N transformation, gaseous emissions of ammonia and nitrous oxide, pasture yield and N uptake in grazed pasture system. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 1270-80.