

Influence of Nitrogen and Sulphur on Growth Yield and Economics of Spineless Safflower under Irrigated Conditions

J. K. Revanth Nathan*, A. Madhavi Lata, B. Joseph and A. Madhavi

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500 030

*Corresponding Author E-mail: revanthnathan.rn@gmail.com

Received: 9.08.2017 | Revised: 20.09.2017 | Accepted: 26.09.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi, 2014 at college farm, college of agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad to realize the optimal dose of nitrogen and sulphur in safflower and to evaluate the effect of levels of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield and economics of safflower. The experiment was carried out with three nitrogen levels 0, 40 and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and three sulphur levels 0, 25 and 45 kg S ha⁻¹ were laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. With respect to nitrogen levels, Viz. plant height (103.0 cm), leaf area index (2.36), dry matter accumulation (8751.8 kg ha⁻¹), seed yield (1458 kg ha⁻¹), stalk yield (4133 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded highest with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and it was significantly superior than 40 kg N ha⁻¹ and 0 kg N ha⁻¹. Among sulphur levels, significantly higher plant height (101.8 cm), leaf area index (2.31), dry matter accumulation (7851.4 kg ha⁻¹), seed yield (1444 kg ha⁻¹), stalk yield (4114 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded with 45 kg S ha⁻¹ over 25 kg S ha⁻¹ and 0 kg S ha⁻¹. higher gross returns (₹) and net returns (₹) realized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and 45 kg S ha⁻¹ and the B:C ratio (1) is also highest in case of treatments received nitrogen and sulphur with 60 kg and 45 kg respectively.

Key words: Plant height, Leaf area index, Dry matter accumulation, Seed yield, Stalk yield, Nitrogen levels, Sulphur levels.

INTRODUCTION

It is known that one of the essential nutrients in human consumption is oil or fat, derived from the plant or animal sources. However there is a limited increase in animal fat production. Therefore, in order to meet the increasing need in oil production is bound to the improvement and growth in oil plants. Oil is important due to the fact that not only it is

an energy source in human consumption but also it is essential in the use of A, D, E, K vitamins and it contains oleic, linoleic (78%) fatty acids which reduces blood cholesterol¹. For this reason, safflower oil is used in the diets of patients with cardiovascular disease, and bears great importance for its anti-cholesterol effect¹¹.

Cite this article: Revanth Nathan, J.K., Madhavi Lata, A., Joseph, B. and Madhavi, A., Influence of Nitrogen and Sulphur on Growth Yield and Economics of Spineless Safflower under Irrigated Conditions, Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6(3): 77-81 (2018). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5413>

India is a major safflower growing country and contributes 60 per cent of the total world production. India ranks first in area and production of safflower grown across the world. In India, safflower is grown in an area of 1, 78,400 ha with a production of 1.453 lakh tonnes and productivity of 498 kg ha⁻¹.

Generally, safflower is produced on marginal lands that are relatively dry and deprived of the benefits of fertilizer inputs. Attempts to improve seed yield and quality by developing agronomic practices are underway throughout the world; safflower can be a candidate crop in dry land agro-ecosystems, due to its potential for growth under water stress and the economical value in terms of both oil and seed¹⁹. Nitrogen compounds are important in plant chemical compounds such as protein, nucleic acid, chlorophyll and enzymes structure, and has an important role in the tissues structure of plants⁵ and nitrogen deficiency reduces plant amino acid content as the basic element for the construction of amino acids and proteins^{2, 5} and sulphur is one of the important nutrient considered as quality nutrient as its application not only influences crop yield but also improves crop quality owing to its influence on protein metabolism, oil synthesis and formation of amino acids⁸. It is a constituent of three amino acids *viz.* Methionin (21% S), Cysteine (26% S) and Cystine (27% S), which are the building blocks of protein. Therefore, the determination of the most suitable dose of nitrogen and sulphur fertilizer will increase the growth and seed yield of safflower.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at College farm, Professor Jyashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, and Hyderabad during *Rabi* season 2014-15. The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (p^H 7.3). The fertility status of the experimental soil was low in organic carbon (0.41%) and available

nitrogen (217.63 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorous (40.93 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium 370.7 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and low in available sulphur (15.7 kg S ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with three replications having nine treatments combinations of three levels of nitrogen 0 kg N ha⁻¹, 40 kg N ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and three sulphur levels 0 kg S ha⁻¹, 25 kg S ha⁻¹, 45 kg S ha⁻¹).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height:

Plant height differed significantly among different levels of nitrogen at all the stages. At harvest, crop fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ maintained its superiority by recording significantly taller plants over corresponding lower levels of 40 kg N ha⁻¹ and 0 kg N ha⁻¹. The increase in plant height with higher levels of nitrogen was probably due to its beneficial effect on cell elongation which might have resulted in internodal elongation. The nitrogen was an integral part of protein, the blocks of the plant and it also helps in maintaining higher auxin level which might have resulted in better plant height¹⁵. Similar findings were also reported by Singh and Singh¹⁶ and Katara and Bansal⁷.

The plant height increased with increasing sulphur level. Maximum plant height (101.8 cm) was recorded with 45 kg S ha⁻¹ at harvesting stage and significantly superior to 25 kg S ha⁻¹ and 0 kg S ha⁻¹. The crop receiving higher dose of sulphur might have been helped in terms of vigorous root growth, formation of chlorophyll, resulting in higher photosynthesis¹⁰.

Leaf area index:

Maximum LAI (3.93) was recorded in 60 kg N ha⁻¹ at 90 DAS significantly superior to 40 kg N ha⁻¹ and 0 kg N ha⁻¹. Adequate supply of nitrogen had produced higher number of leaves plant⁻¹ which in turn produced more photosynthetic surface i.e. LAI. These findings

are in conformity with those of Tomar *et al.*¹⁷; Sarkar and Mallik¹⁴ and Vishwanath *et al.*¹⁸.

Maximum LAI 3.56 was noticed in 45 kg S ha⁻¹ at 90 DAS and significantly superior to 25 kg S ha⁻¹ and control (0 kg S ha⁻¹). Application of sulphur might have influenced the overall nutrition environment of rhizosphere and that might be the reason for increase in the growth parameters of the crop⁶.

Dry matter production:

Application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher dry matter accumulation (8751.8 kg ha⁻¹) over 40 kg N ha⁻¹ (7489.3 kg ha⁻¹) and 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (5344.5 kg ha⁻¹). Dry matter production related to grain productivity contributes an important factor in source-sink relationship. The increase in dry matter due to increase in N levels could be attributed to enhanced plant height, number of leaves, leaf area index and photosynthates accumulation. These findings are in conformity with those of Vishwanath *et al.*¹⁸, Tomar *et al.*¹⁷ and Sarkar and Mallik¹⁴.

Seed yield:

Application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher seed yield (1458 kg ha⁻¹) over 40 and 0 kg N ha⁻¹ and the lowest seed yield (1151 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in control.

Seed yield is the function of several yield attributing characters *viz.*, number of capitula plant⁻¹, number of seeds capitulum⁻¹ and 100 seed weight. Cumulative effect of all the yield attributing characters due to adequate nutrition of nitrogen might have resulted in the production of higher seed yield¹⁷.

Maximum seed yield (1444 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 45 kg S ha⁻¹. Seed yield of safflower decreased gradually with decrease in the sulphur levels and it was significantly superior to 25 kg S ha⁻¹ and control (0 kg S ha⁻¹). The favourable effect of sulphur fertilization on yield components and finally on yield might be due to balanced nutritional environment, efficient and greater partitioning

of metabolites and adequate translocation of nutrients towards reproductive³.

Stalk yield:

Application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher stalk yield (4133 kg ha⁻¹) over 40 and 0 kg N ha⁻¹ while the lowest stalk yield (3650 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in control. The increase in stalk yield was due to increase in plant height, branches plant⁻¹, resulting from the application of higher doses of nitrogen.

Maximum stalk yield (4114 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 45 kg S ha⁻¹ and significantly superior to 25 kg S ha⁻¹ and control. Increase in vegetative growth of plants by sulphur levels was ultimately responsible for such increase in stalk yield⁴ and with decrease in the sulphur levels, the stalk yield decreased.

Economics:

The highest gross returns (₹ 55408 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 36777 ha⁻¹) were recorded with the application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹. The highest B: C ratio (2.97) was observed with the application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ followed by 40 kg N ha⁻¹ (2.75) and control (2.68). This might be due to increase in the doses of nitrogen that increases the seed, stalk yield and quality of safflower.

The highest gross returns (₹ 54872 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 34922 ha⁻¹) were recorded with the application of 45 kg S ha⁻¹. Each increasing level of sulphur increased the economic yield significantly which ultimately resulted in increased gross and net returns ha⁻¹. The highest B: C ratio (2.75) was observed with the application of 45 kg S ha⁻¹ followed by 25 kg S ha⁻¹ (2.70) and control (2.67). This might be due to increase in the doses of sulphur that increases the seed, stalk yield and quality of safflower. These results were in accordance with the findings of Dashora and Sharma³, Ravi *et al.*¹³, Kubsad and Mallapur⁹, Patel *et al.*¹⁰.

Table 1: Growth and yield of safflower as influenced by different nitrogen and sulphur levels

Treatments	Plant height at harvest (cm)	Leaf area index (LAI) At harvest	Dry matter production at harvest (kg ha ⁻¹)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Nitrogen levels					
N ₀ -0 kg ha ⁻¹	95.5	1.99	5344.5	1151	3650
N ₁ -40 kg ha ⁻¹	100.01	2.21	7489.3	1329	3900
N ₂ -60 kg ha ⁻¹	103.0	2.36	8751.8	1458	4133
SEm ±	0.63	0.05	184.15	33.23	37.18
CD (P ≤ 0.05)	1.88	0.11	552.03	99.63	111.47
Sulphur levels					
S ₀ -0 kg ha ⁻¹	97.1	2.09	6465.3	1090	3799
S ₁ -25 kg ha ⁻¹	99.6	2.19	7269.1	1309	3893
S ₂ -45 kg ha ⁻¹	101.8	2.31	7851.4	1444	4114
SEm ±	0.63	0.05	184.15	33.23	37.18
CD (P ≤ 0.05)	1.88	0.11	552.03	99.63	111.47
Interaction (N x S)					
SEm ±	1.09	0.08	318.96	57.55	64.40
CD (P ≤ 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: Economics of safflower as influenced by different nitrogen and sulphur levels

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Gross returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B:C
Nitrogen levels				
N ₀ -0 kg ha ⁻¹	16349	43734	27389	2.68
N ₁ -40 kg ha ⁻¹	18370	50493	32122	2.75
N ₂ -60 kg ha ⁻¹	18630	55408	36777	2.97
SEm ±	--	--	1054	--
CD (P ≤ 0.05)	--	--	3162	--
Sulphur levels				
S ₀ -0 kg ha ⁻¹	15450	41382	25932	2.67
S ₁ -25 kg ha ⁻¹	17950	49723	31754	2.72
S ₂ -45 kg ha ⁻¹	19950	54872	34922	2.75
SEm ±	--	--	1054	--
CD (P ≤ 0.05)	--	--	3162	--

REFERENCES

- Belgin, C., G., Bilal and Mustafa, K., Oil content and fatty acid composition of some safflower (*Carthamustinctorius* L.) varieties sown in spring and winter. *Inter.J. Nat. and Eng. Sci.*, **1(3)**: 11-15. **40(2)**: 243-248 (2007).
- Berglund, D.R., Riveland, N., Bergman, J., Safflower Production NDSU Extension Service Publication, Dakota, USA (1998).
- Dashora, P. and Sharma, R.P., Effect of sowing date, irrigation and sulphur nutrition on yield attributes, yield and oil content of safflower. *Crop Research*.

- 31(1):** 56-57 (2006).
4. Dubey, S.D., Shukla, P and Chandra, R., Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on their content and uptake in linseed. *Journal of Oilseeds Research.* **16(1):** 43-47 (1999).
 5. Herdrich, N., Safflower Production Tips. Washington State University Cooperative Extension, USA, p. 16 ((2001).
 6. Hocking, P.J., Randall, P.J. and Pinkerton, A., Sulphur nutrition of safflower as affected by nitrogen supply: Effect on vegetative growth, the development of yield components, seed yield and quality. *Field Crop Research.* **16:** 157-175 (1987).
 7. Katara, G.S and Bansal, K. N., Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on yield, uptake and water use efficiency of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* **40 (2):** 338-339 (1995).
 8. Krishnamoorthy, S.K., Sulphur fertilization for yield and quality of crops. Proc. National Seminar on *sulphur in agriculture* held at UAS, Bangalore, pp. 22-25(1989).
 9. Kubsad, V.S. and Mallapur, C.P., Effect of sulphur nutrition on productivity of safflower. *Journal of Oilseeds Research.* **20(1):** 96-98 (2003).
 10. Patel, P.T., Patel, G.G., Patel, G.A., Sonani, V.V and Patel, H.B., Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on seed and oil yield of safflower (*carthamus tinctorius*). *Journal of oilseed research* **19(1):** 76-78 (2002).
 11. Pongracz G, Weiser, H., Matzinger, D., Tocopherole, Antioxidation der *Nat. Fat. Sci. Technol.* **97:** 90-104 ((1995).
 12. Ravi, S., Channal, H.T. and Kumar, S., Response of sulphur and micronutrients (Zn and Fe) on yield and available nutrients of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *An Asian Journal of Soil Science.* **5(2):** 402-405 (2010).
 13. Ravi, S., Channal, H.T., Hebsur, N.S., Patil, B.N. and Dharmatti, P.R., Effect of sulphur, zinc and iron nutrition on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science.* **21(3):** 382-385 (2008).
 14. Sarkar, R.K. and Mallick, R.B., Effect of nitrogen, sulphur and foliar spray of nitrate salts on performance of *spring* sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* **79 (12):** 986-990 (2009).
 15. Singh, M.K., Singh, T.K and Singh, U.N., Effect of nitrogen and sulphur levels on yield and quality of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) under dryland conditions. *Journal of Oilseeds Research.* **17(1):** 162-164 (2000).
 16. Singh, R.K and Singh, A.K., Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on productivity, nutrient-use efficiency and economics of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) under late-sown condition. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* **58(4):** 583-586 (2013).
 17. Tomar, R.S., Response of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) to sources and doses of sulphur in alluvial soils of Madya Pradesh. *Crop Research.* **43(1-3):** 39-41 (2012).
 18. Vishwanath, H., Pujari, B.T., Prakash, S.S., Ramesh Babu and Deshmanya, J.B., Growth attributes, dry matter production and its partitioning and nutrient uptake studies in spineless safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) var, NARI-6 as influenced by nitrogen and sulphur levels. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science.* **19 (4):** 913-917 (2006).
 19. Yau, S.K., Safflower agronomic characters, yield and economic revenue in comparison with other rainfed crops in a high-evaluation, semiarid Mediterranean environment. *Exp. Agric. Water Manage.* **87:** 73-82 (2004).