

Effect of Foliar Application of Macro and Micronutrients on Fruit Drop and Yield of Kinnow Mandarin

Reetika^{1*}, G. S. Rana¹, M. K. Rana², Prince¹ and Gaurav Kant¹

¹Department of Horticulture,

²Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar- 125 004 (Haryana), India

*Corresponding Author E-mail: ritikapanwar18@gmail.com

Received: 5.03.2018 | Revised: 12.04.2018 | Accepted: 16.04.2018

ABSTRACT

The experiment comprising of eleven fertilizer treatments in different combinations was conducted on seven years old earmarked plants at Experimental Orchard and in Post-harvest Technology Laboratory of the Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during the year 2016-17 to study the effect of Urea, K₂SO₄, ZnSO₄, FeSO₄ and boric acid on fruit drop and yield of Kinnow mandarin. The data were recorded on June drop, pre-harvest drop, number of fruits per plant, percent fruit retention, size of fruits (fruit length and breadth), fruit weight and fruit yield. The recorded data were subjected to statistical analysis using RBD. The results of the experiment indicate that the foliar application of Urea, K₂SO₄, ZnSO₄, FeSO₄ and boric acid had a significantly positive influence on most of the recorded parameters. The maximum potential of Kinnow mandarin plants in respect of number of fruits per plant, fruit retention, size of fruits (fruit length and breadth), average fruit weight and fruit yield per plant was exploited to a maximum level and the June and pre-harvest fruit drop to a minimum level with foliar application of Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2%.

Key words: Kinnow mandarin, Fertilizers, Fruit drop, Fruit size and Fruit yield

INTRODUCTION

Kinnow, a mandarin hybrid between King Mandarin (*Citrus nobilis* Lour) and Willow Leaf Mandarin (*Citrus deliciosa* Tenora) was introduced in India in 1958 at the Regional Fruit Research Station, Abohar (Punjab). Its introduction inspired the farmers of adjoining areas to grow it. Thereafter, it became the most favourite citrus among the fruit growers as it had well adapted to the conditions of semi-arid

and sub-mountainous foot hill where other citrus have failed. At present, the area under citrus in India is 1077.7 thousand hectare with a production of 11147.1 thousand tonnes and productivity of 10.3 million tonnes per hectare, while the area under citrus in Haryana is 19.4 thousand hectare with a production of 235.4 thousand tonnes and productivity of 12.1 million tonnes per hectare²⁵.

Cite this article: Reetika, Rana, G.S., Rana, M.K., Prince and Kant, G., Effect of Foliar Application of Macro and Micronutrients on Fruit Drop and Yield of Kinnow Mandarin, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6(2): 1163-1169 (2018). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6510>

Kinnow now has attained a prime position in northwestern states of Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Himachal Pradesh since its fruit is very refreshing, juicy, melting and aromatic flavour with a fine sugar-acid blend, total soluble solids (10-12%) and acidity (0.75-1.2%). However, with the change in climate, the growers are facing various problems like erratic bearing, severe fruit drop, decline and poor fruit size. Among these, fruit drop and small fruit size are of great concern, which result in huge economic loss to the farmers. Citrus are relatively high nutrients-demanding crops³¹ and highly responsive to applied nutrients in the form of fertilizers. Enhanced growth with improved fruit yield can be obtained with the application of proper compound fertilizers because any nutrient either deficient or excess can lead to huge reduction in crop yield. Inadequate nutrition is one of the major constraints limiting the potential productivity of a Kinnow orchard, thus, the judicious application of fertilizers plays a pivotal role in the productivity of Kinnow plantation²⁷. The application of macro-nutrients particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium plays an important role in yield¹⁶, however, nitrogen is the key component for citrus growers, as it has more influence on tree growth and fruit yield than any other element¹⁸. A significant increase in shoot growth of different citrus species by urea sprays was also reported by Dubey *et al.*⁸. Inadequacy of potassium is one of the most striking factors that regulate the fruit size. Foliar spray of potassium two months after flowering increases fruit size of Valencia oranges¹⁷. Potassium is also important for fruit formation and enhancing fruit size¹. Its deficiency leads to the production of small fruits with thin skin, while its excess results in the production of large fruits with thick skin and a coarse texture. Micronutrients are comparatively required in small amount as compared to macro-nutrients but these are equally important for plant metabolism¹⁴. Zinc helps in the synthesis of tryptophan, which is a precursor of auxin and hence helps in checking the fruit drop. Foliar

application of micronutrients like zinc, boron and iron was much better than that of soil application because it was more rapidly available to the plants and effective to reduce toxicity symptoms²⁰. However, limited information is available on these aspects under agro-climatic conditions of Haryana. Therefore, effective nutrients management in citrus is required to get desired productivity. Therefore, the present study was planned to evaluate the effect of micronutrients along with major nutrients on fruit drop and yield parameters of Kinnow mandarin.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment comprising of was conducted at Experimental Orchard and in Post-harvest Technology Laboratory of the Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during the year 2016-17. These plants were earmarked in February 2016 for collecting the data on various physiological and biochemical parameters. Hisar has a typical semi-arid climate with hot and dry summer and extremely cold winter, which affects the fruit set and yield of Kinnow Mandarin. The eleven fertilizer treatments in different combinations were laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Seven years old uniformly grown trees spaced at 6x6 m were selected for the present study. Plants were kept under uniform orchard management practices during the study, where all the cultural practices were carried out as per package of practices. The observations were recorded on fruit drop, *i.e.*, (i) June drop (%) and (ii) pre-harvest drop (%), number of fruits per plant, final fruit retention (%), fruit size, *i.e.*, (i) fruit length (cm) and (ii) fruit diameter (cm), fruit weight (g) and fruit yield (kg/plant). The recorded data were subjected to statistical analysis by using the technique of analysis of variance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fruit drop (%), final fruit retention (%) and number of fruits per plant

Different concentrations of Urea, K₂SO₄, ZnSO₄, FeSO₄ and boric acid significantly

affected the June and pre-harvest fruit drop, final fruit retention and number of fruits per plant.

The minimum fruit drop in June month and pre-harvest period was recorded under the treatment T₉- foliar application of Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% (39.00%) closely followed by the treatment T₇- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% (41.00%) and T₁₀- Urea 1.5% + K₂SO₄ 1.5% + ZnSO₄ 0.75% + FeSO₄ 1.0% + H₃BO₃ 0.4% (41.00%) in June and only T₇- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% +

FeSO₄ 0.5% (13.00%) in pre-harvest period. The treatment T₁, T₂, T₄ and T₁₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄, T₃, T₄, T₆, and T₈, T₄ and T₈, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉ and T₁₀, T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₁₀, T₇, T₈, T₉ and T₁₀, T₈ and T₁₀ and T₉ and T₁₀ in June month and the treatment T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₁₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₁₁, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₈, T₁₀ and T₁₁, T₄, T₆, T₈ and T₁₁, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₁₀, T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₁₀, T₇, T₈, T₉ and T₁₀ and T₈ and T₁₀ in pre-harvest period had statistically similar effect on percent fruit drop in Kinnow mandarin.

Table 1: Effect of nutrients on percent fruit drop and percent final fruit retention in Kinnow mandarin

| Treatments | June drop (%) | Pre-harvest drop (%) | Final fruit retention (%) | Number of fruits per plant |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| T ₁ :Urea 1.0% | 50.00 | 15.50 | 20.72 | 530.33 |
| T ₂ :Urea 1.5% | 49.00 | 15.25 | 20.85 | 531.67 |
| T ₃ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% | 46.00 | 14.75 | 22.03 | 579.33 |
| T ₄ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% | 47.00 | 15.00 | 22.18 | 547.33 |
| T ₅ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% | 42.00 | 13.55 | 24.76 | 585.67 |
| T ₆ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% | 43.00 | 13.75 | 23.94 | 574.67 |
| T ₇ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% + FeSO ₄ 0.5% | 41.00 | 13.00 | 24.21 | 598.33 |
| T ₈ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% + FeSO ₄ 1.0% | 44.00 | 14.00 | 23.09 | 569.33 |
| T ₉ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% + FeSO ₄ 0.5% + H ₃ BO ₃ 0.2% | 39.00 | 12.00 | 25.95 | 598.67 |
| T ₁₀ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% + FeSO ₄ 1.0% + H ₃ BO ₃ 0.4% | 41.00 | 13.50 | 24.55 | 569.33 |
| T ₁₁ :Control (water spray) | 52.00 | 16.00 | 19.86 | 523.67 |
| C.D. at 5% level of significance | 3.6 | 1.43 | 0.75 | 6.61 |

The significantly maximum final fruit retention was registered with (Table 1) treatment T₉ where the plants were sprayed with a combination of Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% (25.95%), while the minimum final fruit retention was registered with T₁₁- control treatment (19.86%). The treatment T₁ and T₂, T₃ and T₄, T₅ and T₁₀, T₆, T₇ and T₁₀ and T₇ and T₁₀ had statistically similar effect on percent fruit retention in Kinnow mandarin.

The significantly highest number of fruits per plant was obtained from the plant sprayed with treatment T₉- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% (598.67), which was closely followed by the treatment T₇- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% (598.33), while the minimum number of fruits per plant was recorded under control treatment (523.67). The treatment T₁ and T₂, T₃, T₅ and T₆, T₆, T₈ and

T₁₀, T₇ and T₁₀ and T₈ and T₁₀ were statistically alike in their effect on number of Kinnow mandarin fruits per plant.

The earlier reports indicate that citrus crops suffer with the deficiency of essential nutrients that the plants take up from the soil^{12,4,21}. Citrus crops are relatively high nutrients demanding³¹ and highly responsive to applied nutrients in the form of fertilizers. The results of present investigation indicate that the foliar application of Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% was quite effective in minimizing fruit drop and increasing fruit retention and number of fruits per plant (Table 1) in Kinnow mandarin. The decrease in fruit drop and increase in fruit retention and number of fruits per plant with the application of different nutrients in combination might be attributed to the fact that making up the deficiency of these nutrients in the plants, which are required for the synthesis of carbohydrates,

which are essential for reducing fruit drop and increasing fruit retention and number of fruits per plant. The effect of zinc sulphate and boric acid in reducing pre-mature fruit drop might be due to their role in the retardation of abscission layer formation in pedicel at the point of attachment with fruit¹⁰. Further, zinc is also involved in photosynthesis, activation of enzyme systems, protein synthesis and carbohydrate translocation²⁹ and for the production of tryptophan, a precursor of auxin (indole-3-acetic acid), the deficiency of which results in low auxin level, causing fruits to drop prematurely⁶. The significant check on the economically important pre-harvest fruit drop by the combined foliar spray of these nutrients can be attributed to

the activation of auxins responsible for inhibiting fruit drop by strengthening the fruit pedicels through their synergistic relationship between them²⁶. The results of present study are in conformation with the findings of Gurjar and Rana¹¹ who reported lowest fruit drop with ZnSO₄ 0.5%, Razzaq *et al.*²² and Ullah *et al.*³⁰ who reported that foliar application of boron or zinc reduced fruit drop in Kinnow mandarin, Abd-Allah² who reported that application of boron increases fruit set by its role in pollen tube germination and elongation and Saraswathi *et al.*²⁴ who also observed that supplementation of essential microelements significantly increased the number of fruits per plant in mandarin orange.

Table 2: Effect of nutrients on fruit size, fruit weight (g) and fruit yield per plant in Kinnow mandarin

| Treatments | Fruit size (cm) | | Fruit weight (g) | Fruit yield per plant (kg) |
|---|-----------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | Length | Diameter | | |
| T ₁ :Urea 1.0% | 6.04 | 6.76 | 153.67 | 81.51 |
| T ₂ :Urea 1.5% | 5.97 | 6.95 | 150.67 | 80.17 |
| T ₃ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% | 6.15 | 7.09 | 157.00 | 90.95 |
| T ₄ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% | 6.08 | 7.02 | 155.50 | 85.11 |
| T ₅ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% | 6.18 | 7.09 | 158.33 | 92.73 |
| T ₆ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% | 6.09 | 7.08 | 156.00 | 89.64 |
| T ₇ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% + FeSO ₄ 0.5% | 6.20 | 7.10 | 160.33 | 95.93 |
| T ₈ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% + FeSO ₄ 1.0% | 5.91 | 7.03 | 157.67 | 89.67 |
| T ₉ :Urea 1.0% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.0% + ZnSO ₄ 0.5% + FeSO ₄ 0.5% + H ₃ BO ₃ 0.2% | 6.28 | 7.15 | 163.67 | 97.83 |
| T ₁₀ :Urea 1.5% + K ₂ SO ₄ 1.5% + ZnSO ₄ 0.75% + FeSO ₄ 1.0% + H ₃ BO ₃ 0.4% | 6.03 | 7.07 | 159.00 | 90.58 |
| T ₁₁ :Control (water spray) | 5.80 | 6.70 | 149.23 | 78.15 |
| C.D. at 5% level of significance | 0.08 | 0.04 | 2.59 | 1.9 |

Fruit size, fruit weight (g) and fruit yield per plant

Length, breadth and weight of fruit and fruit yield per plant (Table 2) were recorded significantly maximum under the treatment T₉- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% (6.28 cm, 7.15 cm, 163.00 g and 97.83 kg/plant, respectively), which was closely followed by the treatment T₇- Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% (6.20 cm) only for fruit length and the treatment T₂- Urea 1.5% (150.67 g) only for fruit weight. The treatment T₁, T₄, T₆ and T₁₀, T₂, T₈ and T₁₀, T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇, T₄, T₆ and T₁₀, T₅ and T₇ and T₆ and T₁₀ were statistically at par with each other in respect of fruit length, the treatment T₃, T₅, T₆, T₇ and T₁₀,

T₄ and T₈, T₅, T₆, T₇ and T₁₀, T₇ and T₁₀ and T₈ and T₁₀ in respect of fruit breadth, the treatment T₁, T₄ and T₆, T₂ and T₁₁, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₈ and T₁₀, T₄, T₆ and T₈, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₁₀, T₇, T₈ and T₁₀ and T₈ and T₁₀ in respect of fruit weight and the treatment T₁ and T₂, T₃, T₅ and T₆, T₆, T₈ and T₁₀, T₇ and T₉ and T₈ and T₁₀ in respect of fruit yield per plant.

The productivity of citrus crops depends on many abiotic and biotic factors^{7,13}. Among them, boron as a micronutrient plays significant role in growth and productivity of citrus, as it increases pollen germination, pollen tube length, consequently fruit set and finally the yield². Zinc is another important microelement essential for plants due to its involvement in the synthesis of tryptophan- a precursor of indole acetic acid

synthesis and in the activities of enzymes such as, dehydrogenase, aldolase, isomerase, transphosphorylase, RNA and DNA polymerase²⁸ and it also plays an important role in starch metabolism and acts as co-factor for many enzymes, affecting photosynthesis, nucleic acid metabolism and protein biosynthesis³. Foliar application of micronutrients has advantages over soil application because of high effectiveness, rapid plant response, convenience and elimination of toxicity symptoms brought about by excessive soil accumulation of such nutrients²⁰. Curing micronutrients deficiency through foliar application is a common practice in getting profitable yield and good quality fruits¹⁵. The results of present investigation indicate that the foliar application of Urea 1.0% + K₂SO₄ 1.0% + ZnSO₄ 0.5% + FeSO₄ 0.5% + H₃BO₃ 0.2% was quite effective in increasing all the yield parameters, *i.e.*, number of fruits per plant, size of fruits (fruit length and breadth), average fruit weight and yield per plant significantly (Table 3 and 4). The enhanced yield with foliar application of micronutrients might be attributed to their effects on balancing the nutritional status and in increasing the chlorophyll content of leaves, photosynthetic efficiency and translocation of metabolites from source to the sink as and when required, which might be responsible for retaining more number of fruits, increasing fruit size, fruit weight and yield of the crop. The enhanced yield might also be due to the large plant spread obtained by supplying essential elements in ample amount, resulting in improved growth, better flowering and higher fruit set over the control. The results of present study are in line with the findings of Sahota and Arora²³ who stated that foliar application of zinc increased the fruit yield by increasing fruit weight and fruit size in sweet orange, El-Saida⁹ who reported that foliar spray of micronutrients was beneficial in enhancing citrus fruit setting and yield, Wei *et al.*³² and Obreza *et al.*¹⁹ who reported that increasing rates and frequencies of foliar application of potassium is accompanied by an increase in citrus fruit size, which might be due to the role of potassium in cell wall construction⁵, Razzaq *et al.*²² and Ullah *et al.*³⁰ who noticed an increase in

fruit size of sweet orange and mandarin with the foliar application of zinc or boron and Gurjar and Rana¹¹ who reported that the increase in fruit weight might be due to increased photosynthesis with potassium application, which led to accumulation of more carbohydrates.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas, F. and Fares, A., Best management practices in citrus production. *Tree for Science Biotechnology*, **3**: 1-11 (2008).
2. Abd-Allah, A. S. E., Effect of spraying some micro and macro nutrients in fruit set, yield and fruit quality of Washington Navel orange tree. *Applied Science Research*, **11**: 1059-1063 (2006).
3. Alloway, B. J., *Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition*. International Zinc Association Brussels, Belgium (2008).
4. Ashraf, M. Y., Attiya, G., Ashraf, M. and Hussain, F., Improvement in yield and quality of Kinnow by potassium fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **33**: 1625-1637 (2010).
5. Boman, B. J. and Hebb, J. W., Post bloom and summer foliar K effects on grapefruit size. In: *Proceedings of Florida State Horticultural Society*, **111**: 128-135 (1998).
6. Chandler, W. H., *Acid citrus fruits*, Evergreen orchards. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia (1958).
7. Davies, F. S. and Albrigo, L. G., Environmental constraints on growth, development and physiology of citrus. In: *Citrus* (Eds. Davies, F.S. and Albrigo, L.G.). *CAB International*, Wallingford, UK, pp. 51-82 (1994).
8. Dubey, A. K., Babu, K. D., Pal, D. and Yadav, D. S., Growth acceleration in citrus latipes rootstock seedling by foliar application of GA₃ and Urea. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*, **16(1/2)**: 122-125 (2003).
9. El-Saida, S. A. G., Effect of some growth regulators and zinc sulphate treatments on yield and quality of Washington Navel orange. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, **39**: 1199-1212 (2001).

10. Gopalkrishana, N. and Ekbote, A. A. P., Pre-harvest Fruit Drop- Its possible causes and control with growth regulators: A Review. *Punjab Journal of Horticulture*, **2**: 167 (1962).
11. Gurjar, P. S. and Rana, G. S., Influence of foliar application of nutrients and growth regulator on fruit drop, yield and fruit size and quality in Kinnow mandarin. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **71(1)**: 109-111 (2014).
12. Ibrahim, M., Ahmad, N., Anwar, S. A. and Majeed, T., Effect of micronutrients on citrus fruit yield growing on calcareous soils. In: *Advances in Plant and Animal Boron Nutrition* (Eds. Fangsen, X. U., Goldbach, H. E., Brown, P. H., Bell, R. W., Fujiwara, T., Hunt, C. D., Goldberg, S. and Shi, L.). Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 179-182 (2007).
13. Iglesias, D. J., Cercos, M., Colmenero-Flores, J. M., Naranjo, M. A., Rios, G., Carrera, E., Ruiz-Rivero, O., Lliso, I., Morillon, R., Tadeo, F. R. and Talon, M., Physiology of citrus fruiting. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **19**: 333-362 (2007).
14. Katyal, J. C., Role of micronutrients in ensuring optimum use of macronutrients. In: *Proceedings of International symposium on micronutrients*, New Delhi, India, pp. 3-17 (2004).
15. Leyden, R. F., Nutrition of young 'Star Ruby' grapefruit. J. Rio Grande Valley. *Horticultural Society*, **36**: 67-72 (1983).
16. Liu, C. J., Wary, Y., Peng, S. and Lee, J., A review on effects of mineral nutrients on citrus. *China Journal of Soil Science*, **41**: 2006-2045 (2010).
17. Miller, J. E. and Hofman, P. J., Physiology and nutrition of citrus fruit, growth with special reference to the Valencia: A mini-review. In: *Proceedings of 6th International Citrus Congress*, Tel Aviv, Israel, pp. 503-510 (1988).
18. Obreza, T. A., *Effect of P and K Fertilization on Young Citrus Growth*. Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Florida, pp. 1-3 (2001).
19. Obreza, T. A., Morgan, K. T., Albrigo, L. G. and Boman, B. J., Recommended fertilizer rates and timing. In: *Nutrition of Florida Citrus Trees*, 2nd edn. (Eds. Thomas, A., Obreza and Kelly, Morgan, T.), Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Florida, pp. 24-32 (2008).
20. Obreza, T. A., Zekri, M., Hanlon, E. A., Morgan, K., Schumann, A. and Rouse, R., *Soil and Leaf Tissue Testing for Commercial Citrus Production*. Cooperative Extension Service, University of Florida, Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Florida, pp. 24-32 (2010).
21. Razi, M. F. D., Khan, I. A. and Jaskani, M. J., Citrus plant nutritional profile in relation to *Huanglongbing* prevalence in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science*, **48**: 299-304 (2011).
22. Razzaq, K., Khan, A. S., Malik, A. U., Shahid, M. and Ullah, S., Foliar application of zinc influences the leaf mineral status, vegetative and reproductive growth, yield and fruit quality of 'Kinnow' mandarin. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **36(10)**: 1479-1495 (2013).
23. Sahota, G. S. and Arora, J. S., Effect of N and Zn on 'Hamlin' sweet orange. *Japan Journal of Horticulture Science*, **50**: 281-286 (1981).
24. Saraswathi, T., Thangaraja, T., Azhakiyamanavalan, R. S. and Balakrishnamurthy, G., Effects of micronutrient on yield and quality of mandarin orange. *South Indian Horticulture*, **48**: 148-150 (1998).
25. Saxena and Gandhi, *Indian Horticulture Database*. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. www.nhb.gov.in (2015).
26. Singh, V., *Progressive Horticulture*, **4**: 237 (1982).

27. Srivastava, K. C. and Bopaiah, M. G., Further studies on influence of different levels and combinations of N, P and K on vigour, yield and quality of Coorg mandarin. In: *Proceedings of International Citrus Symposium*, IHR, Bangalore, India, pp. 29-34 (1977).
28. Swietlik, D., Zinc Nutrition in Horticultural Crops. In: *Horticultural Reviews* (Ed. Janick, J.) John Wiley & Sons Inc., pp. 109-118 (1999).
29. Tsonev, T. and Lidon, F. J. C., Zinc in plants- An overview. *Emirates Journal of Food Agriculture*, **24**: 322-333 (2012).
30. Ullah, S., Khan, A. S., Malik, A. U., Afzal, I., Shahid, M. and Razzaq, K., Foliar application of boron influences the leaf mineral status, vegetative and reproductive growth, yield and fruit quality of 'Kinnow' mandarin. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **35**: 2067-2079 (2012).
31. Wang, R., Xue-Gen, S., Zhang, W. Y., Xiao-E, Y. and Juhani, U., Yield and quality responses of citrus (*Citrus reticulata*) and tea (*Podocarpus fleuryi* Hickel.) to compound fertilizers. *Journal of Zhejiang University, China*, **7**: 696-701 (2006).
32. Wei, L. J., Fang, C., Dongbi, L., Yun Fan, W., Chang Bing, Y. and Hua, W. Y., Effect of application of potassium sulphate and potassium chloride on growth of citrus tree, yield and quality of fruits. *Soil and Fertilizers Beijing*, **4**: 34 (2002).