

## Influence of Inorganic Fertilizers and Biomix Inoculation on Yield and Yield Attributes in Pearlmillet Hybrids

Yamank, Meena Sewhag, Priti Malik\* and Babli

Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar- 125004 (Haryana), India

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [priti.malikhau@gmail.com](mailto:priti.malikhau@gmail.com)

Received: 18.08.2017 | Revised: 22.09.2017 | Accepted: 26.09.2017

### ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at Research Area of Agronomy, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana (India) situated at 29°10' N latitude and 75° 46' E longitude at an elevation of 215.2 m above mean sea level during Kharif 2016 to notice the effect of biomix inoculation and chemical fertilizers on yield and yield attributes. Inoculation of bacteria has synergistic and additive effect on plant growth besides reducing the cost of cultivation. Higher number of ear head plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with treatment F<sub>6</sub> (2.76) and lowest in F<sub>1</sub> (1.77). Significantly longer ear length (25.39 cm) as compared to rest of the treatments and it was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (25.14 cm). Perusal of data revealed that 1000 grain weight of pearlmillet was found to be statistically higher in treatment F<sub>6</sub> as compared to rest of the treatments. Maximum grain yield was recorded in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearlmillet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher grain yield than other two hybrids. This might be due to their better vegetative growth in terms of plant height, number of ear head and bolder seeds.

**Key words:** Pearlmillet, Growth parameters, Biomix inoculation, Chemical fertilizers

### INTRODUCTION

Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* [L.] R. Br. emend. Stuntz) is cereal crop cultivated in dryland area of India due to its capacity to grow well under drought, high temperature, low soil fertility and medium salinity. Pearlmillet accounts first in ranks under the category of millets in India, in terms of area, production and productivity. The states of Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh,

Haryana and Gujarat account for more than 90% of total area and production under Pearlmillet. Now area under coarse cereals goes decreasing and got shifted to pulses and oilseeds in Kharif season. Pearlmillet, being an important Kharif crop and has dual-purpose crop. So, play important role in the integrated agricultural and animal husbandry economy of the drier area of the country.

**Cite this article:** Yamank, Sewhag, M., Malik, P. and Babli, Influence of inorganic fertilizers and biomix inoculation on yield and yield attributes in pearlmillet hybrids, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(5): 473-477 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5818>

At farmer's field the averages yield of pearl millet is low due to poor plant stand. Pearl millet also suffers badly due to low soil fertility and scarce water availability, thereby reducing the yield potential. It is clear to generate fair information on performance of pearl millet under differential water and fertilizer regimes, which are meager in Indian conditions. Keeping these points under consideration, the present investigation was taken on Influence of inorganic fertilizers and biomix inoculation on yield and yield attributes in pearl millet hybrids

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* 2016 replicated thrice with split plot design at Research Area of Agronomy, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana (India) situated at 29°10' N latitude and 75° 46' E longitude at an elevation of 215.2 m above mean sea level. The following treatments were taken as in main plot F<sub>1</sub>: Control, F<sub>2</sub>: *Biomix* (*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + *PSB*), F<sub>3</sub>: 75 % RDF, F<sub>4</sub>: RDF (150 kg N /ha and 62.5 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /ha), F<sub>5</sub>: 75% RDF + *Biomix*, F<sub>6</sub>: RDF + *Biomix* and in sub plot H<sub>1</sub>: HHB 234, H<sub>2</sub>: HHB197, H<sub>3</sub>: HHB223 in a split plot design and 5 kg/ha seed rate was taken for pearl millet sowing by keeping 45 cm row to row spacing. The tagged plants were used for recording the number of ear heads plant<sup>-1</sup>. Length of the ear head in centimeter was recorded on the tagged plants. Mean length of ear was computed. A random sample of grain was drawn from the produce of each plot. Out of this sample, 1000-grains were counted and their weight was recorded. Every plot was harvested and sun dried separately. Total weight of these plants (stover + ear head) from net plot was recorded and computed as biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Each of

the plots was harvested and threshed separately. Grain yield from each net plot was recorded and reported as grain yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. By subtracting grain weight from total produce of individual plot, stover yield for each plot was recorded. It was recorded as q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Harvest index for each plot was computed using following formula.

$$\text{Harvest Index} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

Attraction index was calculated by dividing the grain yield with straw yield and multiplied by 100. Tiller conservation index was calculated by dividing the number of effective tillers per plant with total numbers of tillers per plant and multiplied by 100.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result revealed that number of ear head plant<sup>-1</sup> of pearl millet hybrids recorded under different treatments is presented in Table 1 under various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation did not have significant effect on number of ear head plant<sup>-1</sup>. Higher number of ear head plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with treatment F<sub>6</sub> (2.76) and lowest in F<sub>1</sub> (1.77). The differences between no. of ear head per plant in all the three pearl millet hybrids were not significant.

A close perusal of the data in Table 1 on ear head length shows that various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation influenced the ear head length of pearl millet hybrids significantly. The seed inoculation of pearl millet hybrids with *biomix* in association with RDF (F<sub>6</sub>) resulted in significantly longer ear length (25.39 cm) as compared to rest of the treatments and it was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (25.14 cm). There was also no significant difference in ear length of treatment F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>5</sub>.

**Table 1: Effect of different fertility management and hybrids on yield attributes of pearl millet**

Treatments	No. of ear head/plant	Ear head length (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Tiller conservation index (%)
<b>Fertility management</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> : Control	1.77	21.46	9.20	93.55
F <sub>2</sub> : <i>Biomix</i>	2.00	22.26	9.46	95.24
F <sub>3</sub> : 75 % RDF	2.33	24.33	9.83	94.32
F <sub>4</sub> : RDF	2.68	25.14	10.14	93.72
F <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDF + <i>Biomix</i>	2.40	24.76	9.98	95.74
F <sub>6</sub> : RDF + <i>Biomix</i>	2.76	25.39	10.37	96.02
SEm ±	0.23	0.44	0.07	2.06
CD at 5%	NS	1.4	0.22	NS
<b>Hybrids</b>				
H <sub>1</sub> : HHB 234	2.19	23.80	8.57	94.40
H <sub>2</sub> :HHB197	2.38	22.95	10.31	95.11
H <sub>3</sub> : HHB223	2.44	24.94	10.64	94.79
SEm ±	0.13	0.29	0.09	1.80
CD at 5%	NS	0.87	0.29	NS

Pearlmillet hybrid H<sub>1</sub> produced significantly longer ear head than rest two hybrids. The difference of ear head length between hybrid H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> was statistically at par. Lowest ear head length was obtained in hybrid H<sub>2</sub> (22.95 cm). Test weight (1000 grain weight) of pearl millet hybrid was significantly influenced by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation (Table 1). Perusal of data concluded that 1000 grain weight of pearl millet was found to be statistically higher in treatment F<sub>6</sub> as compared to rest of the treatments. 1000 grain weight was

significantly affected by various pearl millet hybrids. Among different pearl millet hybrid, H<sub>3</sub> (HHB 223) produced significantly higher 1000 grain weight than H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> (HHB 234 and HHB 197). Lowest 1000 grain weight of pearl millet was obtained in hybrid H<sub>1</sub> (8.57 g). A close perusal of the data in Table 1 on tiller conservation index reveals that various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation and different pearl millet hybrids did not influence the tiller conservation index significantly.

**Table 2: Effect of different fertility management and hybrids on yield, harvest and attraction index of pearl millet**

Treatments	Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Harvest index (%)	Attraction index (%)
	Grain	Stover	Biological		
<b>Fertility management</b>					
F <sub>1</sub> : Control	20.24	48.44	68.68	29.46	41.80
F <sub>2</sub> : <i>Biomix</i>	21.16	50.84	71.99	29.47	41.93
F <sub>3</sub> : 75 % RDF	25.24	63.36	88.60	28.52	40.00
F <sub>4</sub> : RDF	29.65	69.49	99.14	29.90	42.66
F <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDF + <i>Biomix</i>	28.81	64.66	93.48	30.79	44.57
F <sub>6</sub> : RDF + <i>Biomix</i>	30.79	69.67	100.46	30.64	44.19
SEm ±	0.75	0.71	1.22	0.57	1.16
CD at 5%	2.41	2.28	3.91	NS	NS
<b>Hybrids</b>					
H <sub>1</sub> : HHB 234	23.81	56.07	79.88	29.79	42.47
H <sub>2</sub> :HHB197	25.50	63.12	88.62	28.69	40.31
H <sub>3</sub> : HHB223	28.64	64.03	92.67	30.91	44.85
SEm ±	0.48	1.47	1.83	0.37	0.76
CD at 5%	1.43	4.32	5.39	1.11	2.24

The data pertaining to grain yield of pearl millet hybrids as influenced by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation is presented in Table 2. The grain yield of pearl millet hybrids were significantly influenced due to various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation. Maximum grain yield was recorded in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher grain yield than other two hybrids. Lowest grain yield was obtained in hybrid H<sub>1</sub> (23.81q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Higher grain yield in pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (HHB 223) than rest two cultivars might be due to their better vegetative growth in terms of plant height, number of ear head and bolder seeds. The differences in grain yield of pearl millet cultivars have also been reported by Kumar<sup>3</sup>, Sewhag<sup>4</sup> and Yadav<sup>5</sup>.

The data on stover yield of pearl millet under different treatments (Table 2) revealed that stover yield was significantly influenced by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation. Significantly higher stover yield was recorded in treatment F<sub>6</sub>. The difference between the treatments F<sub>6</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> for stover yield were however non-significant. Among different Pearl millet hybrids, H<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly higher stover yield as compared to other hybrids (64.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The difference between the hybrid H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> for stover yield was however, not significant. This increase in the stover yield may be attributed to the increased height, leaf area and dry matter production. In the inorganic + biofertilizers treatment (T<sub>9</sub>) the positive benefits of seed bacterization are attributed mainly to N<sub>2</sub> fixation and other factors like release of hormones, promotion of PGPS and nutrient uptake. Results of almost similar nature were also reported by Kumar *et al.*<sup>3</sup> and Jadhav *et al.*<sup>1</sup>.

Biological yield as influenced by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation and pearl millet hybrids are presented in Table 2. Perusal of data revealed that the biological yield of pearl millet obtained in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (100.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher as compared to other

treatments. However, the difference in biological yield between treatment F<sub>6</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> was statistically at par. Lowest biological yield was obtained in treatment F<sub>1</sub> (68.68 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (92.67 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher biological yield than other hybrids. However, the difference in biological yield of hybrids H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> were statistically at par. Lowest biological yield was obtained in hybrid H<sub>1</sub> (79.88 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Data presented in Table 2 revealed that various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation did not influence the harvest index of various pearl millet hybrids significantly. Among different pearl millet hybrid, H<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly higher value of harvest index as compared to H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. The lowest harvest index was recorded in pearl millet hybrid, H<sub>2</sub>. The difference between the pearl millet hybrid H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> for harvest index was, however, not significant. Various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation did not have significant effect on attraction index; however, it differed significantly with varying pearl millet hybrids (Table 2). Significantly highest attraction index was recorded with hybrid H<sub>3</sub> as compared to rest two hybrids (H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>). The difference between the hybrid H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> for attraction index was however, not significant.

## CONCLUSION

Higher number of ear head plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with treatment F<sub>6</sub> (2.76) and lowest in F<sub>1</sub> (1.77). Significantly longer ear length (25.39 cm) as compared to rest of the treatments and it was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (25.14 cm). Perusal of data revealed that 1000 grain weight of pearl millet was found to be statistically higher in treatment F<sub>6</sub> as compared to rest of the treatments. Maximum grain yield was observed in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher grain yield than other two hybrids.

## REFERENCES

1. Jadhav, A. S., Shaikh, A. A. and Harinarayan, G., Response of rainfed

- Pearlmillet to inoculation with nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Indian J. Agric.Sci.* **61 (4):** 268-271 (1991).
2. Kumar, M., Response of irrigated pearlmillet hybrids to nitrogen, M.Sc. Thesis, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (2001).
  3. Kumar, P., Hooda, R. S., Kumar, S. and Singh, K., Effect of nitrogen levels and biofertilizers on dry matter production and attraction index in pearlmillet, *Haryana J. Agron.* **28 (1& 2):** 34-37 (2012).
  4. Sewhag, M, Singh H, Hooda RS and Khippal A., Response of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*(L.) R. Br. Emend. Stuntz) composition to nitrogen under rainfed conditions, *Crop Res.* **26 (1):** 67-70 (2003).
  5. Yadav, M. and Reddy, A. P. K., Productivity of Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) as influenced by planting pattern and nitrogen levels during summer, *J. Res. ANGRAU* **37 (1&2):** 34-37 (2009).