

Generation Mean Analysis for Yield and Its Contributing Characters in F₂ Populations of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Prabhu, S.M.^{1*}, N. Meenakshi Ganesan², P. Jeyaprakash², R. Selvakumar¹ and N.K. Prabhakaran²

¹Rasi seeds (P) Ltd., Attur, Salem

²Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

*Corresponding Author E-mail: prabhu.sm@rasiseeds.com

Received: 25.05.2017 | Revised: 15.05.2017 | Accepted: 16.05.2017

ABSTRACT

Gene action for yield its contributing characters and biochemical characters in rice (Oryza sativa L.) was studied in two selected crosses, involving three parents, including their F₁'s, F₂'s, and their back cross populations. The significant scaling test (one or more scales in A, B and C) indicate the presence of digenic epistasis in all the characters studied except in grain yield per plant which showed simple additive and dominance effect. Complex genetic behavior was observed in most of the characters. Since the segregation generations did not follow a simple Mendelian inheritance, high selection pressure is expected in later generations due to probable successful exploitation of additive and dominance component.

Key words: Generation mean analysis, Gene action, epistasis.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is the major food crop worldwide, especially in developing countries⁵. Rice is supplying more than 40% human food requirement in the world and provides food for more than half of people living on the Earth. More than 80% daily calories and 75% required protein is obtained from Asia. At the current rate of population growth in India, estimated rice production should be around 135 to 140 million tonnes by 2020. These tasks is quit challenging and the options available are very limited in view of plateauing trend of yield in high productivity areas, decreasing and degrading land and

scarcity of water and labour. So it is important to develop and use rice technologies that will result in higher yield. Hybrid rice technology is considered as one of the promising, practical, sustainable and eco-friendly options to break the yield ceiling witnessed in rice. The choice of an effective rice breeding approach to select for a particular characteristic depends substantially on the knowledge of the genetic system controlling these characters¹. Genetic improvement also depends primarily on the effectiveness of selection among the progenies that differ in genetic value.

Cite this article: Prabhu, S.M., Ganesan, N.M., Jeyaprakash, P., Selvakumar, R. and Prabhakaran, N.K., Generation Mean Analysis for Yield and Its Contributing Characters in F₂ Populations of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(4): 373-380 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.3023>

The additive and dominant effect and their interactions are known as gene actions and are reported to be associated with breeding value. These states quantitative genetic methods such as diallele crosses and generation mean analysis and etc are used. Genetic analysis using generation mean analysis (GMA) has been used to estimate the gene actions controlling the quantitative characters. Determining the components contributes to a better understanding of the action of genes involved in the expression of that characters⁶. Generation Mean analysis³ or scaling tests have been widely used for genetic analysis^{2,4,7}. This approaches were used in the present research to estimate genetic parameters such as additive gene effects, dominance gene effects and narrow sense heritability. This leads to an understanding of the inheritance of traits and the nature of the epistatic gene effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Experiment was conducted at Rasi Seeds (P) Ltd., Attur, Salem in six seasons from *kharif*'14 to *rabi*'16. Geographically, the research farm of Rasi Seeds (P) Ltd. was located at 22° 57' N latitude and 72° 54' E longitudes at an altitude of 11.98 m above the mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site is heavy black and fine textured with pH ranges from 7.5 to 8.0. It receives an average annual rainfall of 760 mm. The experimental material consists of three parent's *viz.*, BPT 5204, ADT 45 and JGL 1798. By using these three lines two different crosses as BPT 5204 X ADT 45 & BPT 5204 and JGL 1798 were made. Six generations *viz.*, P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of the above crosses were used to study the genetic analysis of quantitative and biochemical traits. The seeds of F₁ of each cross developed during *kharif*'14 at Research Centre farm, Rasi Seeds (P) Ltd., Attur. F₁ "s along with their parents was grown during *Rabi*'14, where fresh F₁ "s and back crosses were developed. Parental lines and F₁ "s plant were selfed during the same season to obtain

seeds of parental lines and F₂ "s. The experimental material consisting of two families, each having six generations P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ was grown during *kharif* 2015 at Research Centre farm, Rasi Seeds (P) Ltd., Attur. The experimental material consist of different generations *viz.*, P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of each family represented individual experimental unit within family was planted in compact family block design. The inter and intra row spacing was 20 cm and 15 cm, respectively. All the recommended agronomical practices and plant protection measures were followed as and when required for raising good crop. Observations were recorded for five random plants in each P₁, P₂ and F₁ and twenty plants in F₂ and 10 plants in BC₁ and BC₂ generations for different characters for days to 50 percent flowering (days), plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), number of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, L/B ratio, amylose content, Alkaline spreading value, 1000 grain weight(g) and Grain yield per plant in single plant observation. These data were subjected to statistical analysis. The mean value was computed for all the six generations for each crosses. The generation mean analysis was carried out following the methodology of Hayman (1958) using six generations and estimated the gene effects *viz.*, m (mean), d (additive effect), h (dominant effect), I (additive X additive interaction effect), j (additive X dominance interaction effect) and l (dominance X dominance interaction effect).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mean performance of the six generations *viz.*, P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ for all the characters studied in two crosses are presented

in table 1. The estimated mean effect (m) parameter, which reflects the contribution due to the overall mean plus the locus effects and interaction of the fixed loci, were found to be highly significant for all the characters in two crosses. The similar results were reported by Jhansi rani et al., 2015. The average performance of the six generations viz., P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , F_2 , BC_1 and BC_2 of both the crosses shown existence of sustainable variability in the populations for all the 11 characters studied. In these studies F_1 shows better performance than both the parents in plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length, number of grains per panicles, spikelet fertility, and grain yield per plant in both the crosses. Superiority of F_1 was observed in 1000 grain weight in BPT 5204 X JGL1798. These shows that the presence of dominant gene effects in hybrids of the two crosses. The F_1 's with average performance over the two parents of that particular classes represented the presence of partial dominance. The performance of F_2 declined for the characters viz., number of productive tillers per plant, spikelet fertility (%), amylose content (%), alkaline spreading value, 1000 grains weight (g) and seed yield per plant (gm). These characters showed the presence of dominance and epistatic interactions in both the crosses. The characters such as plant height (cm), number of grains per panicles, and L / B ratios showed presence of transgressive segregate indicating importance of additive gene action. In general, BC_2 perform better than BC_1 in both the crosses. The characters such as days to 50% flowering, number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length, number of grains per panicles, spikelet fertility, L/B ratio in the cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 shows better performance in BC_2 when compared with BC_1 . While in the cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, most of the characters such as days to 50 % flowering, plant height, number of productive tillers, panicle length, number of grains per panicles, spikelet fertility, amylose content, alkaline spreading value, 1000 grain weight

and seed yield per plant also showed good performance in BC_2

Scaling tests *i.e.*, A, B and C results were presented in table 2. The results of scaling tests for these studies revealed that the calculated values of at least any one of the three scales A, B, C found significant for days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, number of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, amylose content, alkaline spreading and 1000 grain weight value for the cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 and also the characters such as days to 50% flowering, plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length, number of grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, 1000 grain weight, L/B ratio, amylose content, alkaline spreading values in the cross BPT 5204 X ADT45 shows significance for scaling values, which means these characters shows the presence of non-allelic gene interactions.

The estimated value of various types of gene effects viz., m , d , h , I , j and l are presented in Table 3. Results of main effect and interaction effects governing quantitative characters are described below.

For Days to 50% flowering, Genetic effect for m , d , h and l were significant in BPT 5204 X ADT 45 but in cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 only j and l shows significant genetic effect. The effects of dominant and dominant X dominant effects in BPT 5204 X ADT 45 were in opposite sign indicate the presence of duplicate epistasis. In cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, both having same sign indicates the presence of complementary epistasis. Besides duplicate epistasis in BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, additive X additive shows predominance which indicates the transgressive effects. The character Plant height showed wide range of variation in all the six generations. Accordingly the cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 resulted as significance for the scale 1 but in another cross, scale B and C both will show significance. It resulted as inadequacy of simple additive dominance effects. The mean genetic effect was highly significant and positive in both the crosses. The component

additive effect (d) was non-significant and positive results for both the crosses. In two crosses dominant effect and dominant X dominant effects were displayed with opposite sign and then indicated the presence of duplicate epistasis. The character number of productive tillers per plant in BPT 5204 X ADT 45 indicated the non-significance for all the three scale Scale A, B and C, which means a simple additive dominant effect plays a major role. But in the cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 it showed non allelic gene action but providing significance for the scale B and C. In BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, except dominant X dominant interaction showed significant results. The dominant action and dominant X dominant interactions showed similar direction and this proved the presence of complementary epistasis. This type of gene action acts in favour of heterosis (Hasanuzzaman, M and F. Golam, 2011)

For the character spikelet fertility, the dominant and additive X additive gene action was highly significant in two crosses along with significance was also observed in BPT 5204 X ADT 45 for dominance X dominance gene action. In BPT 5204 X ADT 45, duplicate gene action was identified as it showed opposite sign in dominant and dominant X dominant gene action but similar sign was observed in cross II i.e. complementary gene action. In the cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45, all the three scales were non significance which implied the absence of non-allelic gene action but in next cross scale C have significant effect which depicted the presence of epistasis. The mean genetic effect was highly significant and positive in both the crosses. In cross II, the effect of [d], [h], [i] and [l] shows highly significant effect for gene action. The [d] and [l] are significant and opposite sign in cross II suggested the presence of duplicate epistasis.

For the character amylose content the scale A in cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 had

negative and significant effect and the scale C also showed similar results in both the crosses suggested the presence of epistasis effect in both the crosses. In the two crosses, the genetic effect [d], [h], [i] and [j] were highly significant and positive effect except [l], which had negative sign. In both the crosses, the genetic effect [h] and [l] are in opposite sign revealed that the presence of duplicate epistasis. The scaling test for alkaline spreading value for the cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 had significance for all the three scales. In BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, only the scale C provided significant effect. The mean genetic effect was positive and highly significant in both the crosses and the dominant effect in cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 was also gave similar result. Additive X additive and dominant X dominant interaction were negative and non-significant in the two crosses. Cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45 showed significance for scale B and C while in cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, scale A only showed the significant scaling for 1000 seed weight. The mean genetic values were positively significant in both the crosses. In cross BPT 5204 X ADT 45, other than that additive gene action showed positively significant effect. But in cross BPT 5204 X JGL 1798, gene actions [h], [i] and [j] were the positive and significant. The dominant X dominant gene actions in cross both the crosses showed significance with negative effect. In cross I, dominant effect and dominant X dominant interaction possess same sign indicated the presence of complementary gene action while in cross II, they had opposite sign showed duplicate epistasis. In both the crosses grain yield per plant showed significance for at least a single scale depicted as it plays simple additive dominant effects. F_1 in both the crosses had predominate value shows that the heterosis breeding were very effective for increasing the grain yield.

Table 1: Generation Mean (\pm SE) of six generations in Rice for yield, yield contributing and grain quality characters

| Generation | P1 | P2 | F1 | F2 | B1 | B2 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 104.90 \pm 0.23 | 81.80 \pm 0.30 | 95.7 \pm 0.335 | 92.92 \pm 0.474 | 88.3 \pm 0.650 | 89.2 \pm 0.573 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 104.10 \pm 0.23 | 92.90 \pm 0.34 | 100.5 \pm 0.372 | 94.24 \pm 0.511 | 95.6 \pm 0.636 | 92.7 \pm 1.044 |
| Plant height (cm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 83.67 \pm 0.86 | 75.27 \pm 0.79 | 97.89 \pm 0.820 | 102.75 \pm 0.791 | 93.43 \pm 2.78 | 88.82 \pm 2.340 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 82.28 \pm 0.98 | 79.64 \pm 0.90 | 93.59 \pm 0.744 | 94.6695 \pm 0.778 | 93.27 \pm 2.607 | 92.07 \pm 2.998 |
| Number of productive tillers /plant | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 13.10 \pm 0.40 | 13.50 \pm 0.50 | 18.9 \pm 0.936 | 15.82 \pm 0.257 | 16.8 \pm 0.646 | 17.3 \pm 0.667 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 14.30 \pm 0.59 | 13.8 \pm 0.57 | 20 \pm 0.843 | 14.825 \pm 0.249 | 17.1 \pm 0.657 | 14.6 \pm 0.426 |
| Panicle length (cm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 21.59 \pm 0.22 | 20.17 \pm 0.36 | 21.65 \pm 0.297 | 21.85 \pm 0.172 | 22.57 \pm 0.397 | 22.66 \pm 0.312 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 21.70 \pm 0.23 | 23.19 \pm 0.28 | 23.17 \pm 0.37 | 22.1965 \pm 0.14 | 23.11 \pm 0.379 | 22.87 \pm 0.262 |
| Number of grains /panicle | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 225.60 \pm 10.53 | 237.40 \pm 5.46 | 268 \pm 5.8405 | 270.82 \pm 4.434 | 281.7 \pm 13.546 | 305.5 \pm 13.419 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 228.70 \pm 7.68 | 246.30 \pm 6.69 | 288.7 \pm 9.72 | 273.025 \pm 3.497 | 297.2 \pm 12.038 | 280 \pm 13.662 |
| Spikelet fertility (%) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 85.57 \pm 1.22 | 84.49 \pm 1.33 | 90.6080.391 | 81.607 \pm 0.734 | 86.49 \pm 0.77 | 86.82 \pm 0.824 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 87.82 \pm 0.62 | 88.05 \pm 0.85 | 88.369 \pm 0.614 | 80.273 \pm 0.6155 | 85.88 \pm 1.444 | 84.93 \pm 1.49 |
| L/B Ratio | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 3.27 \pm 0.04 | 3.49 \pm 0.05 | 3.366 \pm 0.036 | 3.4073 \pm 0.019 | 3.38 \pm 0.048 | 3.399 \pm 0.05 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 3.12 \pm 0.06 | 3.624 \pm 0.04 | 3.092 \pm 0.041 | 3.285 \pm 0.027 | 2.911 \pm 0.043 | 3.22 \pm 0.058 |
| Amylose content (%) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 25.62 \pm 0.09 | 23.06 \pm 0.16 | 25 \pm 0.086 | 22.799 \pm 0.164 | 24.77 \pm 0.158 | 23.69 \pm 0.184 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 25.51 \pm 0.15 | 23.37 \pm 0.10 | 24.34 \pm 0.139 | 23.294 \pm 0.141 | 24.82 \pm 0.238 | 24.16 \pm 0.135 |
| ASV | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 4.44 \pm 0.037 | 3.80 \pm 0.03 | 4.04 \pm 0.0499 | 3.576 \pm 0.027 | 4.03 \pm 0.044 | 3.77 \pm 0.07 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 4.39 \pm 0.034 | 3.61 \pm 0.03 | 3.77 \pm 0.0473 | 3.669 \pm 0.027 | 3.97 \pm 0.073 | 3.67 \pm 0.0597 |
| 1000 grain weight (g) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 14.50 \pm 0.02 | 17.50 \pm 0.02 | 16.48 \pm 0.36 | 15.2165 \pm 0.075 | 15.52 \pm 0.098 | 15.28 \pm 0.102 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 14.50 \pm 0.02 | 15.07 \pm 0.0 | 15.09 \pm 0.247 | 15.117 \pm 0.066 | 16.61 \pm 0.102 | 15.24 \pm 0.236 |
| Seed yield /plant (gm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 20.48 \pm 1.17 | 23.34 \pm 0.94 | 32.771.365 | 25.672 \pm 0.648 | 27.3 \pm 1.661 | 26.5 \pm 1.249 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 23.31 \pm 0.68 | 22.31 \pm 0.93 | 27.2 \pm 0.864 | 24.734 \pm 0.587 | 28.51 \pm 1.903 | 26.09 \pm 1.732 |

Table 2: Scaling test for yield & its contributing characters and Biochemical characters in Rice

| Generation | Scale A | Scale B | Scale C |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | -24.000 ± 1.363 ** | 1.300± 1.233 | -6.020 ± 2.047 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -13.400 ± 1.345 ** | -8.000 ± 2.149 ** | -21.040 ± 2.217 ** |
| Plant height (cm) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 5.300± 5.68 | 4.480± 4.81 | 52.286 ± 3.75 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 10.670 ± 5.359 * | 10.910± 6.111 | 29.578 ± 3.701 ** |
| Number of productive tillers /plant | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 1.600± 1.64 | 2.200± 1.7 | -1.120± 2.23 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -0.100± 1.672 | -4.600 ± 1.33 ** | -8.800 ± 2.126 ** |
| Panicle length (cm) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 1.900 *± 0.87 | 3.500 **± 0.78 | 2.374 *± 1 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 1.350± 0.877 | -0.620± 0.701 | -2.444 ± 0.998 * |
| Number of grains /panicle | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 69.800 ± 29.65 * | 105.600 ± 28 ** | 84.300 ± 24.32 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 77.000 ± 27.07 * | 25.000± 29.76 | -39.700± 26.02 |
| Spikelet fertility (%) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | -3.207± 2 | -1.466± 2.15 | -24.862 ± 3.54 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -4.4150± 3.19 | -6.5460 ± 3.15 * | -9.0258 ± 2.94 ** |
| L/B Ratio | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 0.123± 0.112 | -0.061± 0.117 | 0.1334± 0.126 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -0.582 ± 0.1187 ** | -0.276 ± 0.131 * | 0.0224 **± 0.16 |
| Amylose content (%) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | -1.080 ± 0.341 ** | -0.680± 0.409 | -7.484 ± 0.705 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -0.210± 0.521 | 0.610± 0.321 | -4.384 ± 0.658 ** |
| Alkaline Spreading Value | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | -0.420 ± 0.109 ** | -0.300 ± 0.151 * | -2.016 ± 0.155 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | -0.2140± 0.153 | -0.040± 0.133 | -0.862 ± 0.151 ** |
| 1000 grain weight (g) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 0.060± 0.207 | -3.420 ± 0.208 ** | -4.094 ± 0.31 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 3.630 ± 3.22 ** | 0.320± 0.53 | 0.7200± 0.56 |
| Seed yield /plant (gm) | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 1.3500± 3.77 | -3.110± 2.99 | -6.672± 4.05 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 6.510 ± 3.96 | 2.610 ± 3.69 | -1.1440± 3.14 |

Table 3: Estimation of gene effects for yield & its contributing characters and Biochemical characters using six parameter model

| Cross | Genetic effects m | (d) | (h) | (i) | (j) | (l) |
|--|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 92.92 ± 0.47 ** | -0.900± 0.867 | -14.130 ± 2.599 ** | -16.680 ± 2.57 ** | -12.650 ± 0.888 ** | 39.380 ± 4.028 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 94.24 ± 0.51 ** | 2.900 ± 1.222 * | 1.640± 3.216 | -0.360± 3.188 | -2.700 ± 1.24 * | 21.760 ± 5.369 ** |
| Plant height (cm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 101.75 ± 0.79 ** | 4.610± 3.63 | -24.086 ± 7.99 ** | -42.506 ± 7.92 ** | 0.410± 3.68 | 32.726 ± 15.01 * |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 94.66 ± 0.77 ** | 1.200± 3.973 | 4.631± 8.594 | -7.998± 8.535 | -0.120± 4.03 | -13.581± 16.32 |
| Number of productive tillers /plant | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 15.82 ± 0.25 ** | -0.500± 0.92 | 10.520 ± 2.34 ** | 4.920 ± 2.12 * | -0.300± 0.98 | -8.720 ± 4.33 * |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 14.82 ± 0.24 ** | 2.500 ± 0.783 ** | 10.050 ± 2.081 ** | 4.100 ± 1.857 * | 2.250 ± 0.886 * | 0.600 ± 3.788 |
| Panicle length (cm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 21.85 **± 0.02 | -0.09± 0.25 | 3.790 **± 1.63 | 3.026 *± 1.49 | -0.800± 0.3 | -8.426 **± 5.1 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 22.19 ± 0.14 ** | 0.240± 0.461 | 3.899 ± 1.157 ** | 3.174 ± 1.081 ** | 0.985 ± 0.496 * | -3.904± 2.099 |
| Number of grains /panicle | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 270.82 ± 4.43 ** | -23.8± 19.06 | 127.600 ± 42.87 ** | 91.100 ± 42.06 * | -17.900± 19.97 | -266.50 ± 80.06 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 273.02 ± 3.49 ** | 17.200± 18.2 | 113.500 ± 40.52 * | 62.300± 39.01 | 26.000± 18.9 | -164.300± 77.35 * |
| Spikelet fertility (%) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 81.60 ± 0.73 ** | -0.330± 1.12 | 25.758 ± 3.83 ** | 20.189 ± 3.7 ** | -0.8705± 1.44 | -15.516 ± 5.73 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 31.51 ± 0.61 ** | 0.956± 2.07 | 20.9901 ± 4.89 ** | 20.558 ± 4.82 ** | 1.0655 ± 2.13 | 9.5977± 8.8 |
| L/B Ratio | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 3.40 ± 0.02 ** | -0.019± 0.069 | -0.0874± 0.168 | -0.0714± 0.16 | 0.092± 0.077 | 0.0094± 0.306 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 3.28 ± 0.02 ** | -0.309 ± 0.073** | -1.25 ± 0.193 ** | -0.88± 0.18 ** | -0.153± 0.083 | 1.738 ± 0.334** |
| Amylose content (%) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 22.79± 0.16** | 1.080 ± 0.243 ** | 6.38 ± 0.828 ** | 5.724 ± 0.819 ** | -0.200± 0.259 | -3.964 ± 1.201 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 23.29 ± 0.14 ** | 0.660 ± 0.274 ** | 4.684 ± 0.805 ** | 4.784 ± 0.787 ** | -0.410± 0.29 | -5.184 ± 1.278 ** |
| Alkaline Spreading Value | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 3.57 ± 0.02 ** | 0.260 ± 0.083 ** | 1.216 ± 0.206 ** | 1.296 ± 0.198 ** | -0.060 ± 0.086 | -0.576± 0.367 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 3.66 ± 0.02 ** | 0.300 ± 0.092 ** | 0.3780± 0.221 | 0.608 ± 0.214 ** | -0.0870± 0.096 | -0.3540± 0.401 |
| 1000 grain weight (g) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 15.21 ± 0.07 ** | 0.2400± 0.141 | 1.214 ± 0.415 ** | 0.734 ± 0.413 * | 1.7400 ± 0.142 ** | 2.626 ± 0.647 ** |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 15.11 ± 0.06 ** | 1.370 ± 0.25 ** | 3.5350 ± 0.63 ** | 3.2300 ± 0.58 ** | 1.655 ± 25 ** | -7.1800 ± 1.17 ** |
| Seed yield /plant (gm) | | | | | | |
| BPT 5204 X ADT 45 | 25.67 ± 0.64 ** | 0.800± 2.07 | 15.772 ± 5.14 ** | 4.912± 4.9 | 2.230± 2.21 | -3.152± 9.25 |
| BPT 5204 X JGL 1798 | 24.73 ± 0.587** | 2.420± 2.573 | 14.6240 ± 5.75 * | 10.264± 5.65 | 1.950± 2.63 | -19.3840± 10.76 |

REFERENCE

- Aziz, T., Rahmatullah, M.A. Maqsood, M.A., Tahir, I., Ahmad and M.A. Cheema, Phosphorus utilization by six Brassica cultivars (*Brassica juncea* L.) from tri-calcium phosphate; a relatively insoluble P compound. *Pakistan J. Bot.*, **38**: 1529–1538 (2006).
- Kearsey, M.J., Pooni, H.S., The Genetical Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Chapman and Hall, London, P. 381 (1996).

3. Mather, K., Jinks, J.L., Biometrical Genetics. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 314-315 (1971).
4. Möhring, J., Piepho, H.P., Generation means analysis using mixed models. *Crop Sci.* **50**: 1674-1680 (2010).
5. Takai, T., Adachi, S., Taguchi-Shiobara, F., Sanoh-Arai, Y., Iwasawa, N., Yoshinaga, S., Hirose, S., Taniguchi, Y., Yamanouchi, U., Wu, J., A natural variant of *NALI*, selected in high-yield rice breeding programs, pleiotropically increases photosynthesis rate. *Sci. Rep.* **23**: 2149 (2013).
6. Wolf, D.P., Hallauer, A.R., Triple testcross analysis to detect epistasis in maize. *Crop Sci.* **37**: 763-770 (1997).
7. Fall, C.A., Optimisation des schémas de sélection pour l'adaptation physiologique à la sécheresse de l'arachide. Mémoire pour confirmation au grade de chargé de recherche génétique et sélection, Centre National de Recherches Agronomiques, Bambey-Sénégal, pp. 100-104 (1994).